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#### Abstract

Work stress is recognized world-wide as a major challenge to workers' health and the healthiness of their organizations. Workers who are stressed are also more likely to be unhealthy, poorly motivated, less productive and less safe at work. Their organizations are less likely to be successful in a competitive market. Stress can be brought about by pressures at home and at work. Employers cannot usually protect workers from stress arising outside of work, but they can protect them from stress that arises through work.

Stress is physical and mental reasons to circumstances that frighten, confuse, endanger or irritate. If the stress is controlled it works like a friend and strengthen to encounter many failures. Stress can be taken as negative value as well as positive value. consider, for example when you undergo annual performance review at work, you feel stress because you confront opportunity, constraints, and demands.
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## INTRODUCTION

The stress is so widespread; it has a very high cost for individuals, companies and organizations, and for society. For the individual, in addition to the devastating impact of the serious health impairments referred to above, the loss of capacity to cope with working and social situations can lead to less success at work, including loss of career opportunities and even employment. It can give rise to greater strain in family relationships and with friends. It may even ultimately result in depression, death or suicide. For the company or organization, the costs of stress take many forms. These include absenteeism, higher medical costs and staff turnover, with the associated cost of recruiting and training new workers.

## NEED FOR THE STUDY

The need for the study is to identify the level of stress at the work place for every organization. The employee should not be under stress at the work place. If they are stressed it would affect both employee and organization. When the employee stress is reduced it would be the betterment for the organization simultaneously the employee concentration in work will increase. The level of stress affects the productivity of individual employees as well the organization .If the level of stress is low ,the employees productivity will be more and vice versa.

Hence this study which can help the organization to take remedial measures to reduce stress and enhance the productivity of the organization.

## SCOPE OF THE STUDY

This study has been carried out with the perspective of evaluating the level of work stress among the employees
which carried out their job function and analyze the employees stress level at PSL Limited.,

This research also determines the cause and effects of the stress and also ways to reduce the work stress. This research which also helps in increasing productivity by means of reducing stress.

## OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

## Primary Objective

To analyze and evaluate the level of stress among the employees at PSL Limited.,

## SECONDARY OBJECTIVES

- To examine the causes of work stress
- To asses the effects of work stress
- To identify the symptoms of work stress
- To know how they cope with stress situation
- To provide suggestions to overcome work stress


## LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

- The sampling error can occur due to the respondents bias.
- The respondents answer questions according to the influence of the superior.
- The research is conducted with limited samples.
- Respondents may have hidden some facts has they are fear of management.
- Time constraint is another factor


## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research simply means a search for facts-answers to questions and solutions to the problems. It is a purposive investigation. It is an organized enquiry.

According to Emory defines research as "any organized inquiry designed and carried out information for solving a problem".

## RESEARCH DESIGN

A research design is a logical and systematic plan prepared for directing a research study. It specifies the objectives of the study, the methodology and techniques to be adopted for achieving the objectives. It 'constitutes the blue print for the collection, measurement and analyze of data". It is "the plan" structure and strategy of investigation conceived so as to obtain and answers to research questions....the plan is the overall scheme or program of research".

## DESCRIPTIVE RESEARCH

Descriptive study is fact-finding investigation with adequate interpretation. It is the simplest type of research. It is more specific than an exploratory study, as it has focus on particular aspects or dimensions of the problem studied. It is
designed to gather descriptive information and provides information for formulating more sophisticated studies. Data are collected by using one or more appropriate methods observation, interviewing and questionnaire .This study is based on Descriptive Research Design

## PRIMARY DATA

Primary data are those data that are collected fresh and for the first time, and thus happen to be original in character. The data are collected by questionnaire.

## SECONDARY SOURCES OF DATA:

Secondary data means the data that are already available i.e., they refers to the data which have already been collected and analyzed by someone else. Such data can be internal or external to the organization and accessed through the internal or perusal of recorded or published information.

There are several sources of secondary data, including books and periodicals, government publications of economic indicators, census data, statistical abstract, data bases, the media, annual reports of companies etc.

## SAMPLING DESIGN

A sampling design is a definite plan for obtaining a sampling from the sampling frame. It refers to the technique or the procedure the researcher would adopt in selecting units from which inferences about the population is drawn. Sampling design is determine before any data are collected.

## POPULATION

Population in statistics means the whole of the information which come under the purview of statistical investigation. A population may be finite according as the numbers of individuals in it are finite in the organization. The population size is 850 in the organization

## SAMPLE SIZE

A sample is a part of the population selected from the study. The selection of a group of individuals or items from a population in such a way that this group represents the population is called sample. The sample is collected from the employees of PSL Limited.,

The sample size taken for the study is 250 .

## SIMPLE RANDOM SAMPLING

It is the method of selection of a sample in such a way that each and every member of population or universe has an equal chance or probability of being included in the sample.

## DESCRIPTION OF STATISTICAL TOOLS

The researcher can be used in different tools to find the employee attitude towards organization development.

- Percentage analysis
- Correlation
- One sample run test
- Chi-square
- Weighted average method


## PERCENTAGE ANALYSIS

Percentage refers to a kind of ratio. Percentages are used in making comparison between two or more series of the data. They are used to describe relationships. Moreover percentage can also be used to compare the relative turns, the distributors of two or more series of data.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Number of Respondents }
\end{aligned}
$$

## PEARSON'S CORRELATION TEST

The relationship between two variables such that a change in one variable results in a positive (or) negative change in the other variable and also a greater change in one variable result in corresponding greater (or) smaller change in the other variable is known as correlation.

Correlation is a statistical 150L which studies the relationship between two variables and correlation analysis evolves various method and techniques used for studying and measuring the extend of the relationship between two variable. The correlation co-efficient has between -1 and $+1(-1<0<+1)$.

## FORMULA

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{R}= & \mathrm{N} \sum \mathrm{xy}-\sum \mathrm{x} * \sum \mathrm{y} \\
& \sqrt{ }\left(\mathrm{~N} \sum \mathrm{x}^{2}-\left(\sum \mathrm{x}\right)^{2}\right)^{*} \sqrt{ }\left(\mathrm{~N} \sum \mathrm{y}^{2}-\left(\sum \mathrm{y}\right)^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## ONE SAMPLE RUN TEST

The popular One-Sample Runs Test is used to identify a nonrandom pattern in a sequence of dichotomous elements. Although the test is generally effective in the identification of patterns, it is demonstrated to be incapable of signaling departures from randomness with run lengths of two. Further-more, with run lengths of two, increasing the sample size reduces the power of the test. Run lengths strictly of two, therefore, generate a unique category of anomaly in the test's overall performance.

## Formula for Calculation of Test Statistics

(i) $\mu_{\mathrm{v}}=----\cdots------1$

$$
2 \mathrm{n} 1 \mathrm{n} 2(2 \mathrm{n} 1 \mathrm{n} 2-\mathrm{n} 1-\mathrm{n} 2)
$$

(ii) $\alpha_{v}=----------------------------$
(iii) $\mathrm{Z}=-$-------------

Level of significance $\alpha=0.05$
Critical value : The tabulated value of $\mathrm{Z} \alpha$ at $5 \%$ level of significance

## CHI - SQUARE TEST ( $\Psi^{\mathbf{2}}$ )

The chi square test is useful for measure of comparing experimentally obtained results with those expected theoretically and based on the hypothesis. It is used as a test statistics in testing hypothesis that provides a set of theoretical frequencies with which observed frequencies are compared.

The chi square test was first used in testing statistical hypothesis by karl pearson in the year 1900. it is defined as

$$
\text { Chi }- \text { square }\left(\Psi^{2}\right)=(\mathbf{O i}-E i)^{2} / E i \text { with }(\mathbf{R}-1)(\mathbf{C}-1)
$$

Where ;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Oi}=\text { observed Frequency of the event } \\
& \mathrm{Ei}=\text { Expected frequency of the event } \\
& \mathrm{Ei}=\text { Row total } \mathrm{X} \text { column total } \\
& \text {------------------------------ }
\end{aligned}
$$

The calculated value of chi-square is compared with the table of chi-square for given.
Degree of freedom at specified level of significance
If $\mathrm{CV}<\mathrm{TV}$ then Hypothesis accepted and
If $\mathrm{CV}>\mathrm{TV}$ then Hypothesis accepted

## WEIGHTED AVERAGE METHOD

In this case of data involving rating scale and ranking, this method is used. Here the net score for each attributes are calculated and analysis can be done as the basis of the scoring in percentage obtained the formula is given.

$$
\text { weighted for column } x \text { no. of. Respondents }
$$

Weighted average method =
Total weight

## DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

Table 1: General Information about Employees

| S.no | Designation | Year of Experience | Education Qualification | No.of Respondents |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Project lead | $5-7$ years | B.tech/M.tech | 85 |  |  |  |
| 2. | Lead | $3-5$ years | BE | 85 |  |  |  |
| 3. | MT | $1-3$ years | BE | 80 |  |  |  |
| TOTAL $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## CHART 1 General Information about Employees



## INFERENCE

From the above table, it is inferred that out of 250 employees, 85 respondents were project lead with the experience of 5-7 years with the education qualification B.tech/M.tech., 85 respondents were lead with the experience of 3-5 years and 80 respondents were MT with the experience level of 1-2 years with the qualification BE.

Table 2: Reasons for Employee Stress

| S.No | Particulars | No.of Respondents | Percentage(\%) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Problems at work | 92 | 36.80 |
| 2 | Problems at home | 158 | 63.20 |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

CHART 2: Reasons for Employee Stress


## INFERENCE

From the above table, it is inferred that out of 250 respondents, $32.80 \%$ of employees is under stress due to problem at work and $63.20 \%$ of the employees is under stress due to problem at home.

Table 3: Employees Plan According to the Work

| Respondents Opinion | No. of Respondents | Percentage( \%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| YES | 170 | 68 |
| NO | 80 | 32 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |



## INFERENCE

From the above table, it is inferred that out of 250 respondents, $68 \%$ of employees work according to plan and $32 \%$ of the employees will not work according to the plan.

Table 4: Employees Expected to Work More than Office Hours

| Respondents Opinion | No. of Respondents | Percentage( \%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Never | 89 | 48 |
| Almost never | 26 | 10.40 |
| Sometimes | 42 | 16.80 |
| Fairly often | 21 | 8.40 |
| Very often | 72 | 28.8 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

## CHART 4 Employees Expected to Work More than Office Hours



## INFERENCE

From the above table, it is inferred that out of 250 respondents $35.60 \%$ of respondents feel that they never expected to work more than office hours, $10.40 \%$ of respondents feels that almost never, $16.80 \%$ of respondents feels that sometimes, $8.40 \%$ of respondents feels that fairly often and $28.8 \%$ of respondents feels that very often employees are expected to work more than office hours.

Table 5: Level of Interruption during Work Time

| Respondents Opinion | No. of Respondents | Percentage( \%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| YES | 156 | 62.40 |
| NO | 94 | 37.60 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

## CHART 5. Level of Interruption during Work Time



## INFERENCE

From the above table, it is inferred that out of 250 respondents $62.40 \%$ of respondents feels that they get interrupted during work schedule and $37.60 \%$ of respondents feels that they are not get interrupted during work schedule.

Table 6: Employees Stress Prevents Paying Attention to Work

| Respondents Opinion | No. of Respondents | Percentage( \%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| strongly agree | 42 | 16.80 |
| Agree | 35 | 14 |
| Neutral | 122 | 48.80 |
| Disagree | 23 | 9.20 |
| Strongly Disagree | 28 | 11.20 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

## CHART6 Employees Stress Prevents Paying Attention to Work



## INFERENCE

From the above table, it is inferred that out of 250 respondents $16.80 \%$ of respondents strongly agreed that they having trouble in paying attention towards work, $14 \%$ of respondents agreed, $48.80 \%$ of respondents were neutral, $9.20 \%$ of respondents were disagree and $11.20 \%$ of respondents were strongly disagree that they having trouble in paying attention towards work.

Table 7: Level of Controlling Emotions

| Respondents Opinion | No. of Respondents | Percentage( \%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Never | 46 | 18.40 |
| Almost never | 21 | 8.40 |
| Sometimes | 68 | 27.20 |
| Fairly often | 80 | 32 |
| Very often | 35 | 14 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

## CHART 7 Level of Controlling Emotions



## INFERENCE

it is inferred that out of 250 respondents $18.40 \%$ of respondents feels that they never found difficult to control their emotions, $8.40 \%$ of respondents feels that almost never, $27.20 \%$ of respondents feels that sometimes, $32 \%$ of respondents feels that fairly often and $14 \%$ of respondents feels that very often they found difficult to control emotions.

Table 8: Level of Anger during Interruption at Work

| . Respondents Opinion | No. of Respondents | Percentage( \%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Almost never | 17 | 6.80 |
| Rarely | 122 | 48.80 |
| Sometimes | 56 | 22.40 |
| Quite often | 26 | 10.40 |
| Most of the time | 29 | 11.60 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

## CHART 8 Level of Anger during Interruption at Work



## INFERENCE

it is inferred that out of 250 respondents, $6.80 \%$ of the respondents are never stimulated to anger when interrupted in work, $48.80 \%$ of the respondents were rarely stimulated to anger when interrupted in work and $22.4 \%$ of the respondent
are sometimes, $10.40 \%$ of respondents were quite often \& $11.60 \%$ of respondents were stimulated to anger when they are interrupted in work

Table 9: Level of Tension While doing Unexpected Projects

| Respondents Opinion | No. of Respondents | Percentage( \%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Almost never | 22 | 8.80 |
| Rarely | 32 | 12.80 |
| Sometimes | 92 | 36.80 |
| Quite often | 83 | 33.20 |
| Most of the time | 21 | 8.40 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

## CHART 9 Level of Tension while doing Unexpected Projects



## INFERENCE

From the above table, it is inferred that out of 250 respondents, $8.80 \%$ of the respondents sometimes tensed due to unexpected projects, $12.80 \%$ of respondents that they were rarely get tensed, $36.80 \%$ of the respondents sometimes tensed due to unexpected projects, $33.20 \%$ of respondents were quite often and $8.40 \%$ of respondents were most of the time get tensed due to unexpected projects.

Table 10: Reaction towards a Work While Employee Lack Training

| Respondents Opinion | No .of Respondents | Percentage (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Almost never | 28 | 11.2 |
| Rarely | 32 | 12.8 |
| Sometimes | 74 | 29.6 |
| Quite Often | 95 | 38 |
| Most of the time | 21 | 8.40 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

## CHART 10 Reaction towards a Work while Employee Lack Training



## INFERENCE

From the above table, it is inferred that out of 250 respondents, $11.2 \%$ of the respondents almost never, $12.8 \%$ of the respondents were rarely respond in a positive manner if they are asked to do a work even if they lack training in it and $29.6 \%$ of the respondents sometimes will respond in a positive manner, $38 \%$ of the respondents were quite often and $8.40 \%$ of respondents were most of the time respondent positive manner if they are asked to do a work even if they lack training in it.

## STATISTICAL TOOLS

## 1 Correlation:

Let $X$ be the Trouble in Paying Attention towards Work

| Particulars | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly agree | 42 | 16.80 |
| Agree | 35 | 14 |
| Neutral | 122 | 48.80 |
| Disagree | 23 | 9.20 |
| Strongly disagree | 28 | 11.20 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

## Let ' $Y$ ' be the Stimulation of Anger

| Particulars | No. of Respondents | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly agree | 17 | 6.80 |
| Agree | 122 | 48.80 |
| Neutral | 56 | 22.40 |
| Disagree | 26 | 10.40 |
| Strongly disagree | 29 | 11.60 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table

| $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{X Y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42 | 17 | 1764 | 289 | 714 |
| 35 | 122 | 1225 | 14884 | 4270 |
| 122 | 56 | 14884 | 3136 | 6832 |
| 23 | 26 | 529 | 676 | 598 |
| 28 | 29 | 784 | 841 | 812 |

## Values:

$\mathbf{N}=250 € \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{2}}=19186$
$€ \mathbf{X}=250$
$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\mathbf{2}}=19826$
$€ \mathbf{Y}=250$
$€ \mathbf{X Y}=13226$

## FORMULA:

Substituting the Values in the Formula,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 250 \text { X } 13226 \text { - ( } 250 \text { X 250) } \\
& \text { R = ------------------------------------------------------- } \\
& \sqrt{ }(250 \times 19186)-(250)^{2} \sqrt{ }(250 \times 19826)-(250)^{2} \\
& \mathbf{R}=\mathbf{0 . 7}
\end{aligned}
$$

## CONCLUSIONS

There exists high correlation between trouble in paying attention towards work and the stimulation of anger.

## 2 ONE SAMPLE RUN TEST:

YYYNNNYNYYYNNNYNYYNNNNNYYYYNNNNNNYNYNYYYNYYYYYYYYYYYNYYYNNNYYNYNYYYY NNNNYNYYYNYNYYYYYYYYYNNNYYYYYNYYYYNYYYNNYYNNNYYNNYYYYYNYNYNYYYNNNNNN NNNYYYYYNYNNNNYYYNNNNYYYNNNNNYYYNYNYNNNNNYYYYNNNNNNYYYYNNNYNNNYYYYYN YYYNYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYY

Y- Yes N - No

Setting of Hypothesis:
Null Hypothesis (Ho) : The sequence is random

## Alternate Hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) \quad:$ The sequence is not random

Calculation of Test statistics:

$$
\mathrm{n} 1=156 ; n 2=94 ; V=76
$$


(v) $\quad \alpha_{v} \quad=\frac{2 n 1 n 2(2 n 1 n 2-n 1-n 2)}{(n 1+n 2)^{2} *(n 1+n 2-\cdots)}$


Level of significance $\alpha=0.05$
Critical value : The tabulated value of $\mathbf{Z} \alpha$ at $5 \%$ level of significance for Two
Tailed test is $\mathbf{1 . 9 6}$
$\mathrm{Za}=1.96$

## CONCLUSION

The calculated value of $/ \mathbf{Z} /$ is less than that of the tabulated value; hence the null hypothesis is accepted. (i.e) The sequence is random.

## 3 CHI SQUARE TEST

For Experience and the decision making:

## Null Hypothesis (Ho):

There is no significant difference between the Experience and the Employee's autonomous in Job determination.

## Alternate Hypothesis $\left(\mathbf{H}_{1}\right)$ :

There is significant difference between the Experience and the Employee's autonomous in Job determination.


Where
$\mathrm{Oi}=$ Observed frequency
$\mathrm{Ei}=$ Expected frequency
$r=$ No. of rows
c $=$ No. of columns.

## Calculation of Ei:

| Experience | Never | Almost Never | Sometimes | Fairly often | Very Often | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1-3$ yrs | 26.24 | 10.24 | 17.28 | 12.16 | 14.08 | 80 |
| $3-5$ yrs | 27.88 | 10.88 | 18.36 | 12.92 | 14.96 | 85 |
| $5-7$ yrs | 27.88 | 10.88 | 18.36 | 12.92 | 14.96 | 85 |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ |

## Calculation of Chi-Square:

| $\mathbf{O i}$ | $\mathbf{E i}$ | $(\mathbf{O i}-\mathbf{E i})$ | $(\mathbf{O i}-\mathbf{E i})^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\left(\mathbf{( \mathbf { O } - \mathbf { E i } ) ^ { \mathbf { 2 } }}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | 26.24 | 10.76 | 115.78 | $\mathbf{E i}$ |
| 14 | 10.24 | 3.76 | 14.13 | 4.4 |
| 18 | 17.28 | 0.72 | 0.52 | 1.38 |
| 5 | 12.16 | -7.16 | 51.27 | 4.03 |
| 6 | 14.08 | -8.08 | 65.29 | 4.63 |
| 36 | 27.88 | 8.12 | 65.94 | 2.365 |
| 17 | 10.88 | 6.12 | 37.45 | 3.44 |
| 13 | 18.36 | -5.36 | 28.73 | 1.56 |
| 11 | 12.92 | -1.92 | 3.69 | 0.28 |
| 8 | 14.96 | -6.96 | 48.44 | 3.23 |
| 9 | 27.88 | -18.88 | 356.45 | 12.78 |
| 1 | 10.88 | -9.88 | 97.61 | 8.97 |
| 23 | 18.36 | 4.64 | 21.53 | 1.17 |
| 22 | 12.92 | -0.92 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| 30 | 14.96 | 15.04 | 226.2 | 226.2 |

TOTAL $=275.48$

Level of Significance $=5 \%$,
$\mathrm{r}=3, \mathrm{c}=5$
$(\mathrm{r}-1)(\mathrm{c}-1)=8$
Critical value: The tabulated value of Chi square $=15.5$

$$
\text { Chi square calculated }=275.48
$$

## $¥^{2}$ calculated > $¥^{2}($ from table $)$

When comparing calculated value with table value, Ho is rejected.
CONCLUSION: There is significant difference between the experience and the decision making encouragement.

## 4 WEIGHTED AVERAGE METHOD:

| Particulars | Excellent | Good | Satisfactory | Bad | Very bad |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interpersonal relationship | 89 | 75 | 36 | 24 | 26 |
| Intra organizational factors | 73 | 78 | 25 | 42 | 32 |
| Opinion on stress management in the <br> organization | 75 | 21 | 36 | 92 | 26 |
| Strategies followed | 45 | 75 | 48 | 39 | 43 |
| Stress management and training <br> programme | 126 | 48 | 36 | 40 | 0 |

## Weighted Average Method:

| Rank | 1 | 2 | $\mathbf{3}$ | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weight | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

## FORMULA:

Net Score $=$ weighted for column $*$ No. of respondents/Total weight

## SOLUTION:

| Particulars | Excellent | Good | Satisfactory | Bad | Very Bad | Total | Avg. | Rank |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interpersonal relationship | 445 | 300 | 108 | 48 | 26 | 927 | 61.8 | 2 |
| Intra organizational factors | 365 | 312 | 75 | 84 | 32 | 868 | 57.9 | 3 |
| Opinion on stress <br> management in the <br> organization | 375 | 84 | 108 | 184 | 26 | 777 | 51.8 | 5 |
| Strategies followed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stress management and <br> training programmes | 630 | 192 | 108 | 80 | 0 | 1010 | 67.33 | 1 |

## CONCLUSION:

From the above table, it is inferred that the First preference goes to the Stress management and training programmes,

Second preference goes to the Interpersonal relationship,
Third preference goes to the Intra organizational factors,
Fourth preference goes to the Strategies followed and the
Final preference goes to the Opinion on stress management in the organization.

## FINDINGS

The following are the findings that are derived from the study.

- 80 of the respondents were MT with the experience level of 1-2 years with the qualification BE.
- It is inferred from the analysis that $\mathbf{6 3 . 2 \%}$ of employees are under stress due to problems at home.
- It is inferred from the analysis that $\mathbf{6 8 \%}$ of employees work according to plan.
- It is inferred the analysis that $\mathbf{3 5 . 6 \%}$ of employees feels that they never expected to work more than office hours.
- It is inferred from the analysis that $\mathbf{6 2 . 4 0 \%}$ of respondents says yes that they get interrupted during work schedule
- It is inferred from the analysis that $\mathbf{4 8 . 8 0 \%}$ of respondents feels neutral that they having trouble in paying attention towards work.
- It is inferred from the analysis that $\mathbf{3 2 \%}$ of respondents feels that fairly often they found difficult to control emotions.


## SUGGESTIONS

The suggestions given, here under may be considered for implementation of the organization under reference.
The employee must be trained well so that the work will be stress free. If there is no stress among employees, the employees would be able to cope with the organizational demand and work with full concentration. If the employee's concentration on work increases, it will lead to increase in productivity leading to the profit for the company.

## CONCLUSIONS

This study on "Stress management" has identified the factors responsible and in knowing stress among employees of the organization under study .This study is more informative and sets guidelines to overcome stress among employees of the organization .Future, it helps the researcher as well the organization to get first hand information about stress in general and specific to the organization under study.

The employees must be trained in such way so that they are aware of their roles and responsibilities which in turn reduces their stress level at work. The lack of education and training among employees results in role conflict and poor performance at work .Hence, the level of awareness about work reduces the level of stress among employees and enhances their performance at work.

The employees in the organization felt that only sometimes they were under stress. Hence, the organization has to take steps to reduce stress among employees for better productivity and profitability.
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